

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

\					
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/736,148	12/15/2000	Marian Rudolf	200865US2	8411	
22850	22850 7590 05/21/2004			EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			LAM, DANIEL K		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2667	1		
			DATE MAILED: 05/21/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$\overline{}$
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/736,148	RUDOLF ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Daniel K Lam	2667
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may ly within the statutory minimum of twill apply and will expire SIX (6) Me, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 D 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under B 	s action is non-final. ince except for formal ma	
Disposition of Claims		1
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)☑ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 21 February 2001 is/an Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	e: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ drawing(s) be held in abey ction is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ts have been received. ts have been received in prity documents have been tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No. <u>5</u> . en received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6. 	Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2667

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

- 1. Figures 5 to 7 are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84 because descriptive labels that is necessary for understanding the drawings, are missing.
- 2. Applicant is required to submit a proposed drawing correction in reply to this Office action. However, formal correction of the noted defect may be deferred until after the examiner has considered the proposed drawing correction. Failure to timely submit the proposed drawing correction will result in the abandonment of the application.

Specification

- 3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "said," should be avoided. Furthermore, the abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a **single** paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
 - On page 7, line 21, "(711)" should be "(710)" as shown in figure 7.

 Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

5. Claim 11 is objected to because it contains the phrase "for example" which renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitation(s) following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Appropriate correction is required.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/736,148

Art Unit: 2667

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- No. 4,979,168 issued to Courtois et al (hereinafter Courtois) in view U. S. Pat. No. 6,529,520 issued to Lee et al (hereinafter Lee).

Regarding **claim 1**, Courtois discloses a method of dynamic random access by users to a shared resource according to CSMA that is originated from ALOHA, comprising:

resource is sensed busy, the user reschedules the transmission of the data packet to some later time according to some delay distribution so as to avoid collision among different contending users by randomizing the next transmission point. At the new point in time, the user senses the channel and repeats the algorithm (the instant of transmission of a data packet by the user being supplied by at least one random variable in which certain time ranges of access to the resource, characterized in that said random variable is temporarily modified into a random variable of the same mean and greater variance when transmission at said instant would result in a breach of the booking). See col. 5, lines 44-50.

Art Unit: 2667

However, Courtois does not explicitly disclose that the resource has been the object of a prior booking. But Lee discloses a multiple access communication network with combined contention, M slots, and reservation, W slots. The reservation W slots are subject of prior booking by the user. See figure 3, and col. 15, lines 19-21.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to those having ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention, to operate the shared resource using both contention and reservation

ALOHA type of access technologies such that when the resource is the object of a prior booking, a random variable is generated according to the same means and with greater variance for a key reason. Since the contention network, such as ALOHA network, with appropriate backoff algorithm can support a large number of bursty users and the contention free network, such as TDMA network, provides high bandwidth to small number of users, therefore, combining both approaches, a large population of bursty users with long messages can be supported as taught by Lee. See col. 2, lines 46-50.

Regarding **claim 2**, in addition to disclose the limitations in claim 1 discussed earlier, Courtois further discloses the user senses the resource to see if it is busy or idle. If it is busy, the user reschedules the transmission of the data packet to some later time according to some delay distribution (a booking breach is established when the transmission instant of the data packet falls within a booked time range). Also see col. 5, lines 44-50.

Regarding **claim 3**, in addition to disclose the limitations in claim 2 discussed earlier, Lee further discloses, if the secondary station whose request for transmission is not acknowledged, it is required to backoff for some time before retransmitting the

Art Unit: 2667

request (a booking breach is also established when the acknowledgement of the data packet is expected within a booked time range). See col. 6, lines 39-42.

Regarding **claim 4**, in addition to disclose the limitations in claim 2 or 3 discussed earlier, Courtois further discloses in the slotted ALOHA network, the slots are equal to the packet transmission time (the ALOHA protocol is a discrete ALOHA protocol and the booked time ranges are transmission intervals). See col. 2, lines 8-11.

Regarding claims 5, 6, 8 and 9, in addition to disclose the limitations in one of claim 1 to 4 and one of claim 5 to 7 discussed earlier, Courtois further discloses, if the shared resource is sensed busy, then the user reschedules the transmission of the data packet to some later time according to some delay distribution so as to avoid collision among different contending users by randomizing the next transmission point (A first random variable modified into a random variable of the same mean and greater variance when transmission at the first instant would result in a breach of the booking; claim 5.

The step of modifying the first random variable consists in adding to it a balanced random variable; claim 6. A second random variable modified into a random variable of the same mean and greater variance when transmission at the second instant would result in a breach of the booking; claim 8. The step of modifying the second random variable consists in adding to it a balanced random variable; claim 9). Also see col. 5, lines 44-50.

Regarding **claims 7 and 10**, in addition to disclose the limitations in claims 6 and 9 discussed earlier, Courtois further discloses, if the shared resource is sensed busy, then the user reschedules the transmission of the data packet to some later time according to some delay distribution so as to avoid collision among different contending users by

Art Unit: 2667

randomizing the next transmission point. At the new point in time, the user senses the channel and repeats the algorithm (If the transmission instant supplied by the first random variable as modified is in breach of the booking, the step of adding the balanced random variable is iterated until the transmission instant supplied by the first random variable is compatible with the booking; claim 7. If the transmission instant supplied by the second random variable as modified is in breach of the booking, the step of adding the balanced random variable is iterated until the transmission instant supplied by the second random variable is compatible with the booking). Also see col. 5, lines 44-50.

Regarding **claim 11**, in addition to disclose the limitations in one of the preceding claims discussed earlier, Courtois further discloses a station measuring the scheduled time interval, TS, after sensing the channel is busy (the user carries out a measurement in at least one of the booked time ranges). See col. 12, lines 59-61.

Regarding **claim 12**, in addition to disclose the limitations in one of the preceding claims discussed earlier, Courtois further discloses the users are mobile stations, 10, 12, 14, and 16 (the user is a mobile station). See figure 1, and col. 5, lines 30-31.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the
examiner should be directed to Daniel K. Lam whose telephone number is (703)
305-8605. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM to
4:30 PM.

Art Unit: 2667

Page 7

If attempt to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chi Pham can be reached on (703) 305-4378. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status Information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

May 14, 2004

RY PATENT EXAMINER
LOGY CENTER 2600 5/7 60 /